



THE EAGLE LAKE HEADLIGHT
(USPS 163-760)
P.O. Box 67 - 200 E. Main - (409) 234-5521
CITY OF EAGLE LAKE, TEXAS 77434-0067
Published Weekly - Every Thursday
Advertising & News Deadlines
5 P.M. MONDAY

PRODUCED BY:
John & Jeannine Fearing,
Marilyn Mueller and
Shirley Luedcke

SUBSCRIPTION RATES:
Colorado County and the cities of Lissie,
East Bernard, Glen Rose & Egypt... \$10.51*
Other residents of Fayette, Lavaca,
Wharton and Austin counties... \$12.09*
Other Texas Residents... \$13.57*
Outside of Texas... \$14.50*

Single Copies: 32-cents*
*These rates include the appropriate Texas state sales tax.
Second Class Postage paid at Eagle Lake, Texas.
Address Corrections should be sent to:
P.O. Box 67, Eagle Lake, Texas 77434-0067

Sympathetic Texas lawmakers explore course on the Dark Continent

The South African confrontation is touching sympathetic hearts in the Legislature, stirring some lawmakers to explore how Texas can accelerate freedom's course on the Dark Continent.

Last week, Black Caucus chairman Paul Ragsdale, D-Dallas, called for state leaders to consider whether those investments are safe in the situation of strife.

Should the white-rule government fall and revolt, these investments might not be recoverable, much less profitable, Ragsdale contends. Black lawmakers urge the



STATE CAPITAL HIGHLIGHTS
By Linda Williams
TEXAS PRESS ASSOCIATION

governor to call a special meeting of public funds managers to evaluate the safety of their portfolios.

Texas dollars safe?
Ragsdale's maneuver is obvious: only a few days earlier, House Speaker G. Lewis asked the idea of divestiture of South African investments and so Ragsdale is going over Lewis'

head to the governor. With an election year approaching fast, the governor cannot afford to alienate blacks and a good many white voters.

But Ragsdale is smarter than the obvious political ploy would suggest. In the often cold-minded world of politics, the bottom line in many conservative minds is the security of the Texas tax dollars.

Skilfully, Ragsdale is appealing to conservatives on the pocketbook issue. And, playing the game by their rules, he has raised a real issue. It's his way of also appealing to pragmatists who are unmoved by the more obvious issue of man's inhumanity to man.

Delicate budget
Nor is it a false cry of wolf: a national report released last week indicates that falling oil prices and a weakening national economy threaten the delicate budget.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Hobby, by the way, drew his first official '86 challenger last week. David Young, twice a candidate for statewide office, announced that he will run against Hobby as a democrat next year.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Colorado County

NOTICE OF CALCULATION OF EFFECTIVE TAX RATE, ESTIMATED UNENCUMBERED FUND BALANCES, AND DEBT SCHEDULE

Bill Youens, Tax Assessor/Collector for Colorado County, in accordance with Sec. 26.04, Property Tax Code, have calculated \$28504 per \$100 of value as the tax rate which may not be exceeded by more than three percent by the Commissioner's Court of Colorado County without holding a public hearing as required by the code.

\$11841 per \$100 for farm-to-market/flood control tax; (County Additional)

\$16663 per \$100 for the general fund, permanent improvement fund, and road and bridge fund tax;

+\$0 per \$100 for public road maintenance tax; thus

\$28504 per \$100 TOTAL COUNTY EFFECTIVE TAX RATE

CALCULATIONS USED TO DETERMINE EFFECTIVE TAX RATE

Separate calculations are performed for each type of tax levied by Colorado County in order to determine a 1985 total effective tax rate. The following guide corresponds with the calculations shown: A - Farm-to-market Road/Flood Control Tax; B - General Fund Tax; C - Maintenance of Public Roads Tax.

DATA Type of Tax: A-County Additional

1. 1984 Total tax levy from the 1984 tax roll	\$792,493
2. 1984 Tax rate (1984 M&O and 1984 I&S)	\$1,092,910
3. 1984 Debt service (I&S) levy	\$85,850
4. 1984 Maintenance & operation (M&O) levy	\$792,493
5. 1984 M&O taxes on property in territory that has ceased to be a part of unit in 1985	\$0
6. 1984 M&O taxes on property becoming exempt in 1985	\$3,893
7. 1984 M&O taxes on taxable value lost because property is appraised at less than market value in 1985	\$3,870
8. 1985 Total taxable value of all property	\$708,968,644
9. 1985 taxable value of new improvements added since Jan. 1, 1984	\$46,243,101
10. 1985 taxable value of property annexed since Jan. 1, 1984	\$0
11. 1985 Tax levy needed to satisfy debt service (I&S)	\$0
12. Rate to raise 1984 tax levy due to appraisal roll errors (lost dollars divided by 1985 taxable values)	\$0/\$100
13. Rate to regain taxes lost in 1984 due to appraisal roll errors (lost dollars divided by 1985 taxable value)	\$0/\$100
14. 1984 M&O taxes used to regain lost 1985 levy	\$0

II. CALCULATIONS MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION (M&O) TAX RATE

1. (A) 1984 Total tax levy (Data 1)	\$792,493
(B) Subtract 1984 debt service levy (Data 3)	\$0
(C) Subtract 1984 taxes on property no longer in unit (Data 5)	\$0
(D) Subtract 1984 taxes for exemptions (Data 6)	\$3,893
(E) Subtract 1984 taxes for productivity valuation (Data 7)	\$3,870
(F) Subtract 1984 taxes used to regain lost 1985 levy (Data 14)	\$0
(G) Adjusted 1984 M&O levy	\$784,730
2. (A) 1985 Total taxable value of all property (Data 8)	\$708,968,644
(B) Subtract 1985 value of new improvements (Data 9)	\$46,243,101
(C) Subtract 1985 value of annexed property (Data 10)	\$0
(D) Adjusted 1985 taxable value for M&O	\$662,725,543

3. (A) Divide the adjusted 1984 M&O levy (1-G above) by the adjusted 1985 taxable value for M&O (2-D above)

\$784,730 ÷ \$662,725,543	0.0011841
(B) Multiply by \$100 valuation	x \$100
(C) Effective M&O rate for 1985	\$0.11841/\$100

INTEREST AND SINKING (I&S) TAX RATE

4. (A) 1985 I&S levy needed to satisfy debt (Data 11)	\$0
(B) 1985 Total taxable value of all property (Data 8)	\$708,968,644
(C) Divide the 1985 I&S levy (4-A above) by the 1985 total taxable value (4-B above)	\$0
(D) Multiply by \$100 valuation	x \$100
(E) Effective I&S rate for 1985	\$0/\$100

APPRaisal ROLL ERROR RATE

5. (A) Rate to raise 1984 levy due to appraisal roll errors (Data 12)	\$0/\$100
(B) Add rate to regain taxes lost due to errors (Data 13)	+ \$0/\$100
(C) Total rate to adjust for appraisal roll errors	\$0/\$100

1985 EFFECTIVE TAX RATE FOR EACH TAX

6. (A) Effective M&O rate (2-C above)	\$0.11841/\$100
(B) Add effective I&S rate (4-E above)	+ \$0/\$100
(C) Add rate to adjust for appraisal roll errors (5-C above)	+ \$0/\$100
(D) 1985 Effective Tax Rate for this tax	\$0.11841/\$100

TOTAL EFFECTIVE TAX RATE FOR 1985

7. Add 1985 effective tax rate for each tax	\$0.11841/\$100 + \$0.16663/\$100
8. Total effective tax rate for 1985	\$0.28504/\$100

1985 Effective Tax Rate for Each Tax Levied and the Total Effective Tax Rate are the rates published as required by Sec. 26.04, Property Tax Code.

The estimated unencumbered fund balances are as follows:

Farm to Market/Flood Control (County Additional)	\$85,000
Maintenance & Operation	\$360,000
Interest & Sinking	\$0
General Fund	\$0
Maintenance & Operation	\$0
Interest & Sinking	\$0
Public Road Maintenance	\$0
Maintenance & Operation	\$0
Interest & Sinking	\$0

The following schedule lists debt obligations that 1985 property taxes will pay:

March 1, 86	Total
September 1, 86	\$84,531

Bill Youens, Tax Assessor/Collector
July 25, 1985

CALCULATIONS USED TO DETERMINE EFFECTIVE TAX RATE

Separate calculations are performed for each type of tax levied by Colorado County in order to determine a 1985 total effective tax rate. The following guide corresponds with the calculations shown: A - Farm-to-market Road/Flood Control Tax; B - General Fund Tax; C - Maintenance of Public Roads Tax.

DATA Type of Tax: B-General

1. 1984 Total tax levy from the 1984 tax roll	\$1,142,839
2. 1984 Tax rate (1984 M&O and 1984 I&S)	\$1,547,910
3. 1984 Debt service (I&S) levy	\$85,850
4. 1984 Maintenance & operation (M&O) levy	\$1,062,089
5. 1984 M&O taxes on property in territory that has ceased to be a part of unit in 1985	\$0
6. 1984 M&O taxes on property becoming exempt in 1985	\$4,125
7. 1984 M&O taxes on taxable value lost because property is appraised at less than market value in 1985	\$5,070
8. 1985 Total taxable value of all property	\$722,622,194
9. 1985 taxable value of new improvements added since Jan. 1, 1984	\$46,243,101
10. 1985 taxable value of property annexed since Jan. 1, 1984	\$0
11. 1985 Tax levy needed to satisfy debt service (I&S)	\$85,851
12. Rate to raise 1984 tax levy due to appraisal roll errors (lost dollars divided by 1985 taxable values)	\$0/\$100
13. Rate to regain taxes lost in 1984 due to appraisal roll errors (lost dollars divided by 1985 taxable value)	\$0/\$100
14. 1984 M&O taxes used to regain lost 1985 levy	\$0

II. CALCULATIONS MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION (M&O) TAX RATE

1. (A) 1984 Total tax levy (Data 1)	\$1,142,839
(B) Subtract 1984 debt service levy (Data 3)	\$85,850
(C) Subtract 1984 taxes on property no longer in unit (Data 5)	\$0
(D) Subtract 1984 taxes for exemptions (Data 6)	\$4,125
(E) Subtract 1984 taxes for productivity valuation (Data 7)	\$5,070
(F) Subtract 1984 taxes used to regain lost 1985 levy (Data 14)	\$0
(G) Adjusted 1984 M&O levy	\$1,047,894
2. (A) 1985 Total taxable value of all property (Data 8)	\$722,622,194
(B) Subtract 1985 value of new improvements (Data 9)	\$46,243,101
(C) Subtract 1985 value of annexed property (Data 10)	\$0
(D) Adjusted 1985 taxable value for M&O	\$676,379,093

3. (A) Divide the adjusted 1984 M&O levy (1-G above) by the adjusted 1985 taxable value for M&O (2-D above)

\$1,047,894 ÷ \$676,379,093	0.0015493
(B) Multiply by \$100 valuation	x \$100
(C) Effective M&O rate for 1985	\$0.15493/\$100

INTEREST AND SINKING (I&S) TAX RATE

4. (A) 1985 I&S levy needed to satisfy debt (Data 11)	\$85,851
(B) 1985 Total taxable value of all property (Data 8)	\$722,622,194
(C) Divide the 1985 I&S levy (4-A above) by the 1985 total taxable value (4-B above)	\$0.0011868
(D) Multiply by \$100 valuation	x \$100
(E) Effective I&S rate for 1985	\$0.11709/\$100

APPRaisal ROLL ERROR RATE

5. (A) Rate to raise 1984 levy due to appraisal roll errors (Data 12)	\$0/\$100
(B) Add rate to regain taxes lost due to errors (Data 13)	+ \$0/\$100
(C) Total rate to adjust for appraisal roll errors	\$0/\$100

1985 EFFECTIVE TAX RATE FOR EACH TAX

6. (A) Effective M&O rate (2-C above)	\$0.15493/\$100
(B) Add effective I&S rate (4-E above)	+ \$0.11709/\$100
(C) Add rate to adjust for appraisal roll errors (5-C above)	+ \$0/\$100
(D) 1985 Effective Tax Rate for this tax	\$0.27202/\$100

TOTAL EFFECTIVE TAX RATE FOR 1985

7. Add 1985 effective tax rate for each tax	\$0.27202/\$100 + \$0.11841/\$100
8. Total effective tax rate for 1985	\$0.39043/\$100

1985 Effective Tax Rate for Each Tax Levied and the Total Effective Tax Rate are the rates published as required by Sec. 26.04, Property Tax Code.

In plain English, further economic setbacks leave Texas with little budget maneuverability unless taxes are raised, or services severely cut.

If the average price of oil falls more than \$2 a barrel in the next two years, a special session to raise taxes will likely be called, according to Lt. Governor Bill Hobby.

Hobby, by the way, drew his first official '86 challenger last week. David Young, twice a candidate for statewide office, announced that he will run against Hobby as a democrat next year.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Like other states, Texas has budgeted cautiously because the uncertainty of federal spending cuts, aid to the states, tax cuts and fears of a new recession.

Colorado County Courthouse Report

REAL ESTATE

DEED TRANSFERS
Deed, Donald Schenck to George D. Boulton Jr. et al; 6,000 acres, James Alley Survey; 6-20-85.

Deed, Estate of Tonia Arndt, Deceased to Victor W. Mueller et al; 18,428 acres, John Andrews League; 7-19-85.

Deed, George New Sengel et al to Edmond L. Glither, Trustee; 40.38 acres, August Henderson Survey; 7-10-85.

Deed, Robert L. Hill et al to B. Jay Rivison; lot 1 blk. 7, The Falls Subdivision Colorado County; 6-20-85.

Deed, Oscar Booker Sr. to Bettie J. Booker Felps; last out of block 95, Town of Columbus; 5-1-85.

Deed, Clifton H. Tyler to Susan Bell Tyler; lot 8 blk. 1, Columbus; 7-15-85.

Deed, Robert A. Youns, M.D. et al to Willis G. Youns Jr. et al; West half of lot 18 and all of lot 19 blk. 14, Weimar; 7-15-85.

Deed, Willis G. Youns Jr. et al to Royce Schroeder, Trustee; West half of lot 18 and all of lot 19 blk. 14, Weimar; 7-15-85.

Deed, Otto E. Kahlden Jr., Trustee to Veterans Land Board of Texas; 10 acres, I&GNRR Co. Survey; 7-19-85.

Deed, Otto E. Kahlden Jr., Trustee to John M. Mouson; 10 acres, I&GNRR Co. Survey; 7-19-85.

Deed, Otto E. Kahlden Jr., Trustee to Carol A. Stapper et al; 562.4305 acres, S.M. Williams Survey; 2,809 acres, S.M. Williams Survey; 4,006 acres, Robert Cunningham Survey; 7-19-85.

Deed, Shirley Ulrich to Beverly Nuss; 6,706 acres, John Chaney League; 8-7-85.

Deed, Beverly Nuss to Shirley Ulrich; 6 acres, John Chaney League; 8-7-85.

OIL, GAS LEASES
Elaine M. Dromgoole to Forney Oil Corp.; 159.15 acres, Thomas Cartwright League; 85 acres, Thomas Rabb League; 50 acres, Thomas Rabb League; 97.5 acres, Thomas Rabb League; 734 acres, Thomas Cartwright League; 1-14-85.

Melvin L. Sunderman to Forney Oil Corp.; 684.65 acres, Thomas Rabb League; 1-14-85.